

Riemannian foliations of symmetric spaces

Tommy Murphy

June 27, 2012

- A foliation \mathcal{F} on \overline{M} is said to be *Riemannian* if a geodesic is orthogonal to all or none of the leaves L of \mathcal{F} that it meets.

- A foliation \mathcal{F} on \overline{M} is said to be *Riemannian* if a geodesic is orthogonal to all or none of the leaves L of \mathcal{F} that it meets.
- **Meta-question 1** classify the Riemannian foliations whose leaves satisfy a “natural” geometric property.

Submanifolds of Symmetric spaces

- \bar{M} a symmetric space : $\bar{\nabla} \bar{R} = 0$.

Submanifolds of Symmetric spaces

- \bar{M} a symmetric space : $\bar{\nabla} \bar{R} = 0$.
- In any category, classify sub-objects

Submanifolds of Symmetric spaces

- \bar{M} a symmetric space : $\bar{\nabla} \bar{R} = 0$.
- In any category, classify sub-objects
- Let ξ denote a unit normal vector field to a submanifold $M \subset \bar{M}$. The eigenvalues of the shape operator $A_\xi X := -(\bar{\nabla}_X \xi)^T$ are the *principal curvatures* λ_i .

Submanifolds of Symmetric spaces

- \overline{M} a symmetric space : $\overline{\nabla} \overline{R} = 0$.
- In any category, classify sub-objects
- Let ξ denote a unit normal vector field to a submanifold $M \subset \overline{M}$. The eigenvalues of the shape operator $A_\xi X := -(\overline{\nabla}_X \xi)^T$ are the *principal curvatures* λ_i .
- **Meta-question 2** Classify submanifolds whose principal curvatures satisfy some “natural” condition

Submanifolds of Symmetric spaces

- \overline{M} a symmetric space : $\overline{\nabla} \overline{R} = 0$.
- In any category, classify sub-objects
- Let ξ denote a unit normal vector field to a submanifold $M \subset \overline{M}$. The eigenvalues of the shape operator $A_\xi X := -(\overline{\nabla}_X \xi)^T$ are the *principal curvatures* λ_i .
- **Meta-question 2** Classify submanifolds whose principal curvatures satisfy some “natural” condition
- $\lambda_i = 0$ is totally geodesic.

Submanifolds of Symmetric spaces

- \overline{M} a symmetric space : $\overline{\nabla} \overline{R} = 0$.
- In any category, classify sub-objects
- Let ξ denote a unit normal vector field to a submanifold $M \subset \overline{M}$. The eigenvalues of the shape operator $A_\xi X := -(\overline{\nabla}_X \xi)^T$ are the *principal curvatures* λ_i .
- **Meta-question 2** Classify submanifolds whose principal curvatures satisfy some “natural” condition
- $\lambda_i = 0$ is totally geodesic.
- Cartan: totally geodesic \leftrightarrow Lie triple system.

Submanifolds of Symmetric spaces

- \overline{M} a symmetric space : $\overline{\nabla} \overline{R} = 0$.
- In any category, classify sub-objects
- Let ξ denote a unit normal vector field to a submanifold $M \subset \overline{M}$. The eigenvalues of the shape operator $A_\xi X := -(\overline{\nabla}_X \xi)^T$ are the *principal curvatures* λ_i .
- **Meta-question 2** Classify submanifolds whose principal curvatures satisfy some “natural” condition
- $\lambda_i = 0$ is totally geodesic.
- Cartan: totally geodesic \leftrightarrow Lie triple system.
- Explicit classification if $rank(M) \leq 2$.

Theorem

(Levi-Civita, Somigliana, Segre) A hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has constant principal curvatures if and only if it is locally isometric to either a hypersphere, a cylinder, or a plane.

Theorem

(Levi-Civita, Somigliana, Segre) A hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has constant principal curvatures if and only if it is locally isometric to either a hypersphere, a cylinder, or a plane.

- M is said to be homogeneous if a subgroup of $Isom(\overline{M})$ acts transitively on M .

Theorem

(Levi-Civita, Somigliana, Segre) A hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has constant principal curvatures if and only if it is locally isometric to either a hypersphere, a cylinder, or a plane.

- M is said to be homogeneous if a subgroup of $Isom(\overline{M})$ acts transitively on M .
- Inhomogeneous examples?

- Cartan tried and failed to classify hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in spheres.

- Cartan tried and failed to classify hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in spheres.
- motivated by trying to classify isoparametric hypersurfaces:

- Cartan tried and failed to classify hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in spheres.
- motivated by trying to classify isoparametric hypersurfaces:

Definition

A function $f : \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is isoparametric if $\|df\|^2 = a \circ f$, and $\Delta(f) = b \circ f$ for smooth functions a, b . The level sets of f are *isoparametric hypersurfaces*

- Cartan tried and failed to classify hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in spheres.
- motivated by trying to classify isoparametric hypersurfaces:

Definition

A function $f : \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is isoparametric if $\|df\|^2 = a \circ f$, and $\Delta(f) = b \circ f$ for smooth functions a, b . The level sets of f are *isoparametric hypersurfaces*

- Arose in the study of waves moving through a medium.

- Cartan tried and failed to classify hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in spheres.
- motivated by trying to classify isoparametric hypersurfaces:

Definition

A function $f : \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is isoparametric if $\|df\|^2 = a \circ f$, and $\Delta(f) = b \circ f$ for smooth functions a, b . The level sets of f are *isoparametric hypersurfaces*

- Arose in the study of waves moving through a medium.

Theorem

(Cartan) A hypersurface of a space form is isoparametric if and only if it has constant principal curvatures.

- Cartan tried and failed to classify hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in spheres.
- motivated by trying to classify isoparametric hypersurfaces:

Definition

A function $f : \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is isoparametric if $\|df\|^2 = a \circ f$, and $\Delta(f) = b \circ f$ for smooth functions a, b . The level sets of f are *isoparametric hypersurfaces*

- Arose in the study of waves moving through a medium.

Theorem

(Cartan) A hypersurface of a space form is isoparametric if and only if it has constant principal curvatures.

- Cartan: M is isoparametric \Leftrightarrow all parallel hypersurfaces have constant mean curvatures.

- Cartan achieved their classification when $g = \|\sigma_{A_\xi}\| \leq 3$.

- Cartan achieved their classification when $g = \|\sigma_{A_\xi}\| \leq 3$.

Theorem

(Münzner) For an isoparametric hypersurface $M \subset S^n$,
 $g \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$.

- Cartan achieved their classification when $g = \|\sigma_{A_\xi}\| \leq 3$.

Theorem

(Münzner) For an isoparametric hypersurface $M \subset S^n$,
 $g \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$.

- For $g = 4$ inhomogeneous examples of FKM type arising from Clifford systems.

- Cartan achieved their classification when $g = \|\sigma_{A_\xi}\| \leq 3$.

Theorem

(Münzner) For an isoparametric hypersurface $M \subset S^n$, $g \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$.

- For $g = 4$ inhomogeneous examples of FKM type arising from Clifford systems.
- Wang: Under the Hopf fibration inhomogeneous isoparametric hypersurfaces project to isoparametric hypersurfaces with nonconstant principal curvatures.

Curvature-adapted submanifolds

- $K_\xi = \overline{R}(\cdot, \xi)\xi$ denotes the normal Jacobi operator.

Curvature-adapted submanifolds

- $K_\xi = \overline{R}(\cdot, \xi)\xi$ denotes the normal Jacobi operator.
- $M \subset \overline{M}$ is said to be curvature-adapted if

Curvature-adapted submanifolds

- $K_\xi = \overline{R}(\cdot, \xi)\xi$ denotes the normal Jacobi operator.
- $M \subset \overline{M}$ is said to be curvature-adapted if
 - 1 $K_\xi : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$, and

Curvature-adapted submanifolds

- $K_\xi = \overline{R}(\cdot, \xi)\xi$ denotes the normal Jacobi operator.
- $M \subset \overline{M}$ is said to be curvature-adapted if
 - 1 $K_\xi : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$, and
 - 2 $K_\xi \circ A_\xi = A_\xi \circ K_\xi$.

Curvature-adapted submanifolds

- $K_\xi = \overline{R}(\cdot, \xi)\xi$ denotes the normal Jacobi operator.
- $M \subset \overline{M}$ is said to be curvature-adapted if
 - 1 $K_\xi : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$, and
 - 2 $K_\xi \circ A_\xi = A_\xi \circ K_\xi$.
- 1 Every submanifold of a space form.

Curvature-adapted submanifolds

- $K_\xi = \overline{R}(\cdot, \xi)\xi$ denotes the normal Jacobi operator.
- $M \subset \overline{M}$ is said to be curvature-adapted if
 - 1 $K_\xi : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$, and
 - 2 $K_\xi \circ A_\xi = A_\xi \circ K_\xi$.
- 1 Every submanifold of a space form.
- 2 Complex submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\mathbb{C}H^n$.

Curvature-adapted submanifolds

- $K_\xi = \overline{R}(\cdot, \xi)\xi$ denotes the normal Jacobi operator.
- $M \subset \overline{M}$ is said to be curvature-adapted if
 - 1 $K_\xi : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$, and
 - 2 $K_\xi \circ A_\xi = A_\xi \circ K_\xi$.
- 1 Every submanifold of a space form.
- 2 Complex submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\mathbb{C}H^n$.
- 3 Every Hermann action of a symmetric space.

Curvature-adapted submanifolds

- $K_\xi = \overline{R}(\cdot, \xi)\xi$ denotes the normal Jacobi operator.
- $M \subset \overline{M}$ is said to be curvature-adapted if
 - 1 $K_\xi : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$, and
 - 2 $K_\xi \circ A_\xi = A_\xi \circ K_\xi$.
- Every submanifold of a space form.
 - 1 Every submanifold of a space form.
 - 2 Complex submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\mathbb{C}H^n$.
 - 3 Every Hermann action of a symmetric space.
- Every tube around a curvature-adapted submanifold is curvature-adapted.

Theorem

(M.) Let M be a curvature-adapted hypersurface of a compact symmetric space. Then M is isoparametric if, and only if, M has constant principal curvatures and the eigenvalues of $K_\xi(E)$ are constant.

Theorem

(M.) Let M be a curvature-adapted hypersurface of a compact symmetric space. Then M is isoparametric if, and only if, M has constant principal curvatures and the eigenvalues of $K_\xi(E)$ are constant.

- For spheres we recover Cartan's theorem.

Theorem

(M.) Let M be a curvature-adapted hypersurface of a compact symmetric space. Then M is isoparametric if, and only if, M has constant principal curvatures and the eigenvalues of $K_\xi(E)$ are constant.

- For spheres we recover Cartan's theorem.
- Proof uses Jacobi field theory and Laurent series for the principal curvature functions.

Theorem

(M.) Let M be a curvature-adapted hypersurface of a compact symmetric space. Then M is isoparametric if, and only if, M has constant principal curvatures and the eigenvalues of $K_\xi(E)$ are constant.

- For spheres we recover Cartan's theorem.
- Proof uses Jacobi field theory and Laurent series for the principal curvature functions.

Theorem

(M.) Let M be a curvature-adapted hypersurface of a compact symmetric space. Then M is isoparametric if, and only if, M has constant principal curvatures and the eigenvalues of $K_\xi(E)$ are constant.

- For spheres we recover Cartan's theorem.
- Proof uses Jacobi field theory and Laurent series for the principal curvature functions.

Theorem

(M.) Let $M \subset \mathbb{O}P^2$ be a complete curvature-adapted hypersurface. Then it is isoparametric if, and only if it is homogeneous.

- Fundamental techniques are given by using the Riccati equation for the tube around $M \subset \overline{M}$:

$$(A_\xi)'(r) = A_\xi^2 + K_\xi$$

- Fundamental techniques are given by using the Riccati equation for the tube around $M \subset \overline{M}$:

$$(A_\xi)'(r) = A_\xi^2 + K_\xi$$

- Curvature-adapted implies this matrix-valued differential equation simplifies to a family of ODE's

$$(\lambda'_i) = \lambda_i^2 + \kappa_i$$

- Fundamental techniques are given by using the Riccati equation for the tube around $M \subset \overline{M}$:

$$(A_\xi)'(r) = A_\xi^2 + K_\xi$$

- Curvature-adapted implies this matrix-valued differential equation simplifies to a family of ODE's

$$(\lambda'_i) = \lambda_i^2 + \kappa_i$$

- Can calculate the principal curvatures of tubes around M by using Jacobi field theory

- Fundamental techniques are given by using the Riccati equation for the tube around $M \subset \overline{M}$:

$$(A_\xi)'(r) = A_\xi^2 + K_\xi$$

- Curvature-adapted implies this matrix-valued differential equation simplifies to a family of ODE's

$$(\lambda'_i) = \lambda_i^2 + \kappa_i$$

- Can calculate the principal curvatures of tubes around M by using Jacobi field theory
- Focal point: principal curvatures developing singularities.

- Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be complex, γ be the total Chern form and F be the Kähler form.

- Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be complex, γ be the total Chern form and F be the Kähler form.

- Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be complex, γ be the total Chern form and F be the Kähler form.

Theorem

$$(Gray) \text{ Vol}(T_M(r)) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_M \gamma \wedge (\pi r^2 + F)^n$$

- Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be complex, γ be the total Chern form and F be the Kähler form.

Theorem

$$(Gray) \text{ Vol}(T_M(r)) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_M \gamma \wedge (\pi r^2 + F)^n$$

- For $M_d \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$,

$$\text{Vol}(T_{M_d}(r)) = \frac{(\pi)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \left(1 - (1 - d \cdot \text{Sin}^2(2r))^{n+1} \right).$$

- Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be complex, γ be the total Chern form and F be the Kähler form.

Theorem

$$(Gray) \text{ Vol}(T_M(r)) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_M \gamma \wedge (\pi r^2 + F)^n$$

- For $M_d \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$,

$$\text{Vol}(T_{M_d}(r)) = \frac{(\pi)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \left(1 - (1 - d \cdot \text{Sin}^2(2r))^{n+1} \right).$$
- Motivated by this work, Gray established the formula

$$\text{minfo}_{\mathbb{C}P^n}(M_d^{n-1}) = r_0 \leq \text{ArcSin}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right).$$

Theorem

(M.) A complex submanifold M arises as an exceptional leaf of any Riemannian foliation \mathcal{F}^{2n-1} on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ if, and only if, M is isometric to

- 1 a totally geodesic $\mathbb{P}^k \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ for some $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$,
- 2 the complex quadric $Q^{n-1} = \{[z] \in \mathbb{P}^n : z_0^2 + \dots + z_n^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$,
- 3 the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^k$ into \mathbb{P}^{2k+1} ,
- 4 the Plücker embedding of the complex Grassmann manifold $G_2(\mathbb{C}^5)$ into \mathbb{P}^9 , or
- 5 the half spin embedding of $SO(10)/U(5)$ in \mathbb{P}^{15} .

In other words, \mathcal{F} is induced by a cohomogeneity one action.

Complex Riemannian foliations

- Good examples of Riemannian foliations: leaves of $\pi : M \rightarrow B$.

Complex Riemannian foliations

- Good examples of Riemannian foliations: leaves of $\pi : M \rightarrow B$.
- Letting M be Kähler, when are the leaves of π complex submanifolds?

Complex Riemannian foliations

- Good examples of Riemannian foliations: leaves of $\pi : M \rightarrow B$.
- Letting M be Kähler, when are the leaves of π complex submanifolds?
- Twistor fibration: $\pi : \mathbb{C}P^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}P^n$ gives an example.

Complex Riemannian foliations

- Good examples of Riemannian foliations: leaves of $\pi : M \rightarrow B$.
- Letting M be Kähler, when are the leaves of π complex submanifolds?
- Twistor fibration: $\pi : \mathbb{C}P^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}P^n$ gives an example.
- Others?

Theorem

(M.) Let \mathcal{F} be a singular Riemannian foliation of an irreducible closed Kähler manifold. If it is complex, it is totally geodesic.

Theorem

(M.) Let \mathcal{F} be a singular Riemannian foliation of an irreducible closed Kähler manifold. If it is complex, it is totally geodesic.

- Idea of the proof: use infinitesimal Riemannian foliation $T_x\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem

(M.) Let \mathcal{F} be a singular Riemannian foliation of an irreducible closed Kähler manifold. If it is complex, it is totally geodesic.

- Idea of the proof: use infinitesimal Riemannian foliation $T_x\mathcal{F}$.
- At a singular point $T_x\mathcal{F} = T_x\mathcal{F}^{triv} \oplus T_x\mathcal{F}^{ess}$

Theorem

(M.) Let \mathcal{F} be a singular Riemannian foliation of an irreducible closed Kähler manifold. If it is complex, it is totally geodesic.

- Idea of the proof: use infinitesimal Riemannian foliation $T_x\mathcal{F}$.
- At a singular point $T_x\mathcal{F} = T_x\mathcal{F}^{triv} \oplus T_x\mathcal{F}^{ess}$
- $T_x\mathcal{F}^{ess}$ is an isoparametric foliation of Euclidean space \rightarrow homogeneous.

Theorem

(M.-Nagy) Let \mathcal{F} be a Riemannian foliation of an open subset $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$. If it is complex, it is $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}w}|_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Theorem

(M.-Nagy) Let \mathcal{F} be a Riemannian foliation of an open subset $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$. If it is complex, it is $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}w}|_{\mathcal{O}}$.

- We conjecture that the twistor fibration is the unique complex Riemannian foliation of a Hermitian symmetric space

Theorem

(M.-Nagy) Let \mathcal{F} be a Riemannian foliation of an open subset $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$. If it is complex, it is $\mathcal{F}_{Tw}|_{\mathcal{O}}$.

- We conjecture that the twistor fibration is the unique complex Riemannian foliation of a Hermitian symmetric space

Theorem

(M.-Nagy) Let \mathcal{F} be a complex Riemannian foliation of a closed irreducible Hermitian symmetric space \overline{M} . Then $\text{rank}(M) \leq 3$, and the leaves of \mathcal{F} are totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \overline{M}$.

Hypersurfaces in complex and quaternionic hyperbolic spaces, to appear, Adv. Geom., arXiv:1011.6582.

Curvature-adapted submanifolds of symmetric spaces, to appear, Indiana U. Math. J., arXiv:1102.4756.

Riemannian foliations of projective space admitting complex leaves, submitted, arXiv:1202.5989.

Complex Riemannian foliations of Kähler manifolds, with P.A. Nagy, in preparation.