

Lie methods in Engel groups

Michael Vaughan-Lee

Oxford

November 2008

A group G is an n -Engel group if

$$[x, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_n] = 1 \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

A group G is an n -Engel group if

$$[x, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_n] = 1 \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

The big question is: Are n -Engel groups locally nilpotent?

A group G is an n -Engel group if

$$[x, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_n] = 1 \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

The big question is: Are n -Engel groups locally nilpotent?

Yes, for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

A group G is an n -Engel group if

$$[x, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_n] = 1 \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

The big question is: Are n -Engel groups locally nilpotent?

Yes, for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

???? for $n > 4$.

n -Engel groups for small n

- 1-Engel groups are abelian

n -Engel groups for small n

- 1-Engel groups are abelian
- 2-Engel groups are nilpotent of class at most 3, and the normal closure of an element in a 2-Engel group is abelian

n -Engel groups for small n

- 1-Engel groups are abelian
- 2-Engel groups are nilpotent of class at most 3, and the normal closure of an element in a 2-Engel group is abelian
- $[x, y, y] = 1$, so y commutes with $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. Hence y commutes with y^x . So any two conjugates of y commute

n -Engel groups for small n

- 1-Engel groups are abelian
- 2-Engel groups are nilpotent of class at most 3, and the normal closure of an element in a 2-Engel group is abelian
- $[x, y, y] = 1$, so y commutes with $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. Hence y commutes with y^x . So any two conjugates of y commute
- 3-Engel groups need not be nilpotent, but the normal closure of an element is nilpotent of class at most 2

n -Engel groups for small n

- 1-Engel groups are abelian
- 2-Engel groups are nilpotent of class at most 3, and the normal closure of an element in a 2-Engel group is abelian
- $[x, y, y] = 1$, so y commutes with $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. Hence y commutes with y^x . So any two conjugates of y commute
- 3-Engel groups need not be nilpotent, but the normal closure of an element is nilpotent of class at most 2
- In 4-Engel groups the normal closure of an element is nilpotent of class at most 4

n -Engel groups for small n

- 1-Engel groups are abelian
- 2-Engel groups are nilpotent of class at most 3, and the normal closure of an element in a 2-Engel group is abelian
- $[x, y, y] = 1$, so y commutes with $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. Hence y commutes with y^x . So any two conjugates of y commute
- 3-Engel groups need not be nilpotent, but the normal closure of an element is nilpotent of class at most 2
- In 4-Engel groups the normal closure of an element is nilpotent of class at most 4
- But in 5-Engel groups the normal closure of an element need not be nilpotent

A construction

Let B be the free group on b_1, b_2, \dots in the variety of groups which are nilpotent of class 2 and have exponent 3.

So B' is an elementary abelian 3-group, with basis $\{[b_i, b_j] \mid i < j\}$.

Let A be the cyclic group of order 3, generated by a , and let $G = A \text{ wr } B$.

A construction

Let B be the free group on b_1, b_2, \dots in the variety of groups which are nilpotent of class 2 and have exponent 3.

So B' is an elementary abelian 3-group, with basis $\{[b_i, b_j] \mid i < j\}$.

Let A be the cyclic group of order 3, generated by a , and let $G = A \text{ wr } B$.

Let N be the normal closure of A in G .

Then N is an elementary abelian 3-group with basis $\{a^b \mid b \in B\}$, and

$$[a, [b_1, b_2], [b_1, b_3], \dots, [b_1, b_k]] \neq 1$$

for any k . So the normal closure of b_1 in G is not nilpotent.

Let $w, x, y, z \in G$. Then $[w, x, y]$ and $z^3 \in N$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= [[w, x, y], z^3] \\ &= [w, x, y, z]^3 [w, x, y, z, z]^3 [w, x, y, z, z, z] \\ &= [w, x, y, z, z, z] \end{aligned}$$

Associated Lie rings

If G is a group we define the associated Lie ring of G as follows. We form the lower central series

$$G = \gamma_1(G) \geq \gamma_2(G) \geq \gamma_3(G) \geq \dots,$$

where $\gamma_{i+1}(G)$ is the subgroup of G generated by $\{[g, h] \mid g \in \gamma_i(G), h \in G\}$.

Associated Lie rings

If G is a group we define the associated Lie ring of G as follows. We form the lower central series

$$G = \gamma_1(G) \geq \gamma_2(G) \geq \gamma_3(G) \geq \dots,$$

where $\gamma_{i+1}(G)$ is the subgroup of G generated by $\{[g, h] \mid g \in \gamma_i(G), h \in G\}$.

For $i = 1, 2, \dots$ we let $L_i = \gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G)$. Then L_i is an abelian group, and we think of it as a \mathbb{Z} -module and write

$$L = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3 \oplus \dots,$$

a direct sum of \mathbb{Z} -modules.

If $a = g\gamma_{i+1}(G) \in L_i$ and $b = h\gamma_{j+1}(G) \in L_j$ then we set

$$[a, b] = [g, h]\gamma_{i+j+1}(G) \in L_{i+j}$$

We extend this Lie product to the whole of L by linearity

Problem

Is the associated Lie ring of an n -Engel group necessarily an n -Engel Lie ring?

If L is the associated Lie ring of an n -Engel group then

- If $a \in L_i$ and $b \in L_j$, then $[a, \underbrace{b, b, \dots, b}_n] = 0$
- If $a, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in L$ then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} [a, b_{1\sigma}, b_{2\sigma}, \dots, b_{n\sigma}] = 0$$

Associated Lie rings

So Zel'manov's Theorem implies that if G is an n -Engel group, and if L is the associated Lie ring of G , then L and $G / \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \gamma_i(G)$ are locally nilpotent.

The first relation above follows directly from the definition of L

To see the second relation, we substitute $y_1 y_2 \dots y_n$ for y in the group relation $[x, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_n] = 1$. Expanding, we have

$$\prod_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} [x, y_{1\sigma}, y_{2\sigma}, \dots, y_{n\sigma}] \in \gamma_{n+2}(G)$$

The second relation follows immediately

Another useful relation

Substitute x_1x_2 for x in the group relation, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= [x_1x_2, y, y, \dots, y] \\ &= [[x_1, y][x_1, y, x_2][x_2, y], y, \dots, y] \\ &= [x_1, y, y, \dots, y][x_1, y, x_2, y, \dots, y][x_2, y, y, \dots, y]\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\gamma_{n+3}(G)$

So

$$[x_1, y, x_2, y, \dots, y] \in \gamma_{n+3}(G)$$

This implies that L satisfies

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} [a, b_{1\sigma}, c, b_{2\sigma}, \dots, b_{n\sigma}] = 0$$

Even more relations

If we substitute $x_1x_2 \dots x_r$ for x in the group relation, and substitute $y_1y_2 \dots y_s$ for y (with $s \geq n$), then we obtain a multilinear Lie relation of weight $r + s$.

So when we move to Lie rings the n -Engel group identity gives Lie relations which are both stronger and weaker than the n -Engel Lie identity.

Locally nilpotent n -Engel groups

We use Lie methods to study finitely generated nilpotent n -Engel groups.

Since finitely generated nilpotent groups are residually finite p -groups, we study them by studying finite n -Engel p -groups.

If G is a finite p -group with associated Lie ring L , then L has the same order, class, and number of generators as G . Furthermore L/pL has the same class of L and can be thought of as a Lie algebra over \mathbb{Z}_p .

Global nilpotence

In characteristic zero the Lie identity

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} [a, b_{1\sigma}, b_{2\sigma}, \dots, b_{n\sigma}] = 0$$

implies *global nilpotence*. (Zel'manov again!)

So, for some c , in the free Lie algebra F over the rationals \mathbb{Q} , with free generators x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{c+1} we have

$$[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{c+1}] = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} [a_i, b_{i1\sigma}, b_{i2\sigma}, \dots, b_{in\sigma}] \right)$$

for some rationals α_i , and some elements $a_i, b_{ij} \in F$

Global nilpotence

If p does not divide the denominators of any of the α_i , this implies that a finite n -Engel p -group is nilpotent of class at most c .

So, if $n \geq 2$ there are integers P and c depending on n , such that if G is a finite n -Engel p -group for any $p > P$, then G is nilpotent of class at most c .

For small n the numbers P and c are surprisingly small.

n	P	c
2	1	3
3	5	4
4	5	7
5	7?	10?

Higman's solution of RBP for exponent 5

If G is a finite m -generator group of exponent 5 with associated Lie ring L then

- L is m -generator
- $|G| = |L|$
- G and L have the same nilpotency class
- L satisfies the identical relations

$$5x = 0$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(4)} [x, y_{1\sigma}, y_{2\sigma}, y_{3\sigma}, y_{4\sigma}] = 0$$

Higman's solution

To solve RBP for exponent 5 it is sufficient to show that Lie algebras over \mathbb{Z}_5 satisfying

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(4)} [x, y_{1\sigma}, y_{2\sigma}, y_{3\sigma}, y_{4\sigma}] = 0$$

are locally nilpotent. N.B. In characteristic 5 this identity is equivalent to the 4-Engel identity.

Higman's solution

To solve RBP for exponent 5 it is sufficient to show that Lie algebras over \mathbb{Z}_5 satisfying

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(4)} [x, y_{1\sigma}, y_{2\sigma}, y_{3\sigma}, y_{4\sigma}] = 0$$

are locally nilpotent. N.B. In characteristic 5 this identity is equivalent to the 4-Engel identity.

Higman proved that if L is a 4-Engel Lie algebra over \mathbb{Z}_5 , and if $x \in L$, then $\text{Id}_L(x)$ is nilpotent of bounded class

Higman's solution

To solve RBP for exponent 5 it is sufficient to show that Lie algebras over \mathbb{Z}_5 satisfying

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(4)} [x, y_{1\sigma}, y_{2\sigma}, y_{3\sigma}, y_{4\sigma}] = 0$$

are locally nilpotent. N.B. In characteristic 5 this identity is equivalent to the 4-Engel identity.

Higman proved that if L is a 4-Engel Lie algebra over \mathbb{Z}_5 , and if $x \in L$, then $\text{Id}_L(x)$ is nilpotent of bounded class

In fact the bound is 6

Higman's reduction

Let F be the free 4-Engel Lie algebra over \mathbb{Z}_5 with free generators x, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots

Let I be the ideal of F generated by $\{[a_i, a_j] \mid i, j \geq 1\}$.

Higman proved that $\text{Id}_F(x)$ is nilpotent if and only if F/I is nilpotent, and he proved that F/I is nilpotent.

Higman's reduction

Let F be the free 4-Engel Lie algebra over \mathbb{Z}_5 with free generators x, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots

Let I be the ideal of F generated by $\{[a_i, a_j] \mid i, j \geq 1\}$.

Higman proved that $\text{Id}_F(x)$ is nilpotent if and only if F/I is nilpotent, and he proved that F/I is nilpotent.

In fact F/I has class 12. Furthermore, $\text{Id}_{F/I}(x + I)$ is nilpotent of class 6. This implies that $\text{Id}_F(x)$ is nilpotent of class 6.

Higman's reduction

Let F be the free 4-Engel Lie algebra over \mathbb{Z}_5 with free generators x, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots

Let I be the ideal of F generated by $\{[a_i, a_j] \mid i, j \geq 1\}$.

Higman proved that $\text{Id}_F(x)$ is nilpotent if and only if F/I is nilpotent, and he proved that F/I is nilpotent.

In fact F/I has class 12. Furthermore, $\text{Id}_{F/I}(x + I)$ is nilpotent of class 6. This implies that $\text{Id}_F(x)$ is nilpotent of class 6.

This, in turn, implies that if G is a finite m -generator group of exponent 5, then G is nilpotent of class at most $6m$.

Application of Higman's reduction

Applying Higman's reduction to finite n -Engel p -groups, we see that the nilpotency class of the normal closure of an element has the following bounds.

	$p = 2$	$p = 3$	$p = 5$	$p = 7$	$p > 7$
$n = 2$	1	1	1	1	1
$n = 3$	2	2	2	2	2
$n = 4$	4	3	4	3	3
$n = 5$?	∞	6	5	4?